

# HOMework #3

## CS262Z

J. Pearl  
Spring 2000

1. Prove (by counterexample) that the properties expressed in Eqs. (1.38) and (1.39) are not sufficient for ensuring that a DAG is a causal Bayesian network.
2. Prove that Property 2, Eq. (1.39), holds in every causal model, not necessarily Markovian, while Property 1 (Eq. (1.38)) holds in Markovian models.
3. Prove that, in every causal model (including non-Markovian), only descendants of  $X_i$  can be influenced by manipulating  $X_i$ ; i.e.,  $P_x(y) = P(y)$  whenever  $Y$  is a nondescendant of  $X$ .
4. What other properties of Markovian models hold in non-Markovian models.

5. Modify Model-2 (Eq. (1.48)) by assuming that  $U_1$  and  $U_2$  are not independent but, rather, that a person curable by the treatment is three times more likely to seek treatment than a person allergic (fatally) to the treatment, and  $P(u_2 = 1) = \frac{1}{2}$ .
- (a) Compute that probability that a treated person would recover.
  - (b) Define and compute the population rate of recovery if we make the treatment mandatory to all.
  - (c) Suppose Joe died, and we do not know whether he was treated or not.
    - c1. Compute the probability that Joe was treated.
    - c2. Compute (formally) the probability that Joe died BECAUSE he was treated. (Use the 3-step procedure on page 37.)