

THE SURE THING PRINCIPLE

(Savage, 1954)

Let f and g be two alternative possible acts of any sort, and let C be any event.

If you would definitely prefer g to f , either knowing that the event C obtained, or knowing that the event C did not obtain, then you definitely prefer g to f .

	<u>C patients</u>		<u>C' patients</u>	
Treatment:	Standard	New	Standard	New
Dead:	950	9000	5000	5
Alive:	50 (5%)	1000 (10%)	5000 (50%)	95 (92%)

	<u>All patients</u>	
Treatment:	Standard	New
Dead:	5950	9005
Alive:	5050 (46%)	1095 (11%)

f: Draw patients at random until you get one who got the standard treatment, and bet a dollar that he recovered.

g: Draw patients at random until you get one who got the new treatment, and bet a dollar that he recovered.

C: The patient you bet on is a local one.

- Given that *C* obtained, you would definitely prefer *g* to *f* (*g* gives double the probability of winning).
- Given that *C'* obtained, you would definitely prefer *g* to *f* (*g* gives you nearly double the probability of winning)
- But you definitely prefer *f* to *g* because *f* gives you over four times the probability of winning.